The Mysore Representative Assembly.

DASARA SESSION, 1922.

INTERPELLATIONS.

MESSRS. N. S. NANJUNDAIYA, H. BORANNA AND K. MAHADEVAPPA, HASSAN TALUK AND H. V. RANGASWAMY, HASSAN TOWN MUNICIPALITY.—

Q.—1. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) Whether their attention has been drawn to the judgment of the Session Judge of Mysore, in Hassan Sessions Case No. 11 of 1921-22 and the records thereof?

(*b*) What action have the Government taken or propose to take in respect of the Investigating Officer and the malpractices brought to light in that case?

(*c*) Is it true that the accused who is the father of the murdered boy had petitioned the Inspector-General of Police complaining against the conduct of the Investigating Amildar and requesting that some other officer might be deputed to investigate the case?

(*d*) Was the said prayer granted, and if not what were all the steps taken in respect of that petition?

(*e*) What steps have Government taken or propose to take to bring the offenders to book in respect of the said murder of the boy?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENT.—

A.—(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) The Amildar who was the Investigating Officer has been placed under suspension in another connection.

(*c*) and (*d*) Information has been called for and will be furnished on receipt.

(*e*) It does not appear to be possible now to put up a satisfactory case before the Court.

MESSRS. N. S. NANJUNDAIYA, H. BORANNA AND K. MAHADEVAPPA, HASSAN TALUK, AND H. V. RANGASWAMY, HASSAN TOWN MUNICIPALITY.—

Q.—2. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) What is the total productivity of the Mysore State ?

(*b*) What is the average income of a subject of the State ?

(*c*) What average contribution does he make towards the maintenance of the State in both Mysore and British India?

(*d*) What proportion does this contribution bear to that made by a British Indian Subject ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.—

A.—(*a*) and (*b*) Government have not made any calculation of the total annual production of the State or of the annual average income of a subject of the State. One statistician placed the value of annual income from agriculture, manufactures and other professional occupations at 18 crores in 1915.

(*c*) and (*d*) Information in regard to *per capita* contribution towards the revenues of the Government of Mysore and of the British Governments in

India by their subjects is contained in the Finance and Revenue Accounts of the respective Governments. Other information required by these questions is not available.

MR. K. NARANAPPA, HOLENARSIPUR TALUK.—

Q.—3. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) When and for what reason, the temples of Sri Chaturbhuja Pattabhiramaswamy and Sri Neelakanteswaraswami at Holenarasipur came under Government management?

(*b*) The income derived from the Sarvamanya villages and Minor Vritti and Batamanya lands situated in Holenarsipur, Arkalgud, Krishnarajpet, Hassan and Yedatore Taluks and the arrears outstanding thereof?

(*c*) Whether any Vritti endowed to the temple has been sold by public auction and if so what action has been taken by Government in the matter? Whether Government have taken steps to recoup the loss sustained in this behalf, and if so, the result of such steps?

(*d*) The amount and produce that remain to be recovered for a period exceeding 6 years, and whether Limitation Act is not applicable to such cases?

(*e*) Whether the fact that the temples are deteriorating every year has come to the notice of Government? When was the estimate for the repairs to the temples sanctioned? What is the reason for not commencing the work?

(*f*) Whether the amount, *viz.*, Rs. 5,000 invested in the State Loan about 57 years back has been returned; if so, how has it been invested and what is the annual amount of interest received on behalf of the Institutions?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REVENUE AND MUZRAI DEPARTMENTS.—

A.—(*a*) In the year 1896-97 as the temple affairs were not properly managed by the Swamy of the Sri Yoganandiswaraswami Mutt with whom the management vested.

(*b*) The annual income derived is Rs. 2,405-3-3, and the arrears outstanding amount to Rs. 3,380-14-5.

(*c*) Government are not aware that any Vritti endowment to this temple has been sold by public auction.

(*d*) The amount that remained outstanding for a period exceeding 6 years was Rs. 2,017-6-5, out of which a sum of Rs. 1,020 due from Handinakere Vritti, Hassan Taluk, is reported to have been since recovered.

(*e*) As the temples needed repairs two estimates, one for Rs. 3,980 and the other for Rs. 1,370 were sanctioned by Government in their Order No. 6565-7—Muz. 28-20-8, dated 20th May 1922. The Chief Engineer has been requested to make necessary arrangements for the works being taken on hand at once and completed early.

(*f*) The amount is held in 3½ per cent Government of India Pro-notes of 1865, and interest at 3½ per cent is being realised.

MR. S. KRISHNASWAMI RAO, MYSORE TALUK.—

Q.—4. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) What is the number of the Registration Appeals filed during the year 1921-22 in each of the District Registrar's Courts in the State?

(2) What is the average number of adjournments that each appeal had to undergo during the same period in each of the Districts?

(3) The number of appeals disposed of in each of the Districts during the same period?

(4) What is the average duration of time occupied in each appeal case of 1919-20 in each of the Districts?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REVENUE DEPARTMENT.—

A.—A statement furnishing the information is placed on the table. (Appendix A.)

MR. D. NARAHARI RAO, CHAMARAJNAGAR TALUK.—

Q.—5. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) What do the funds of Sri Chamarajeswara Temple amount to at present?

(2) Has any amount been lent, and if so, how much and for what purposes?

(3) What is the probable amount of Puduvat?

(4) Have persons who have taken loans out of this amount executed the necessary bonds?

(5) Are any of these loans barred by limitation? What is the amount of such loans and who is responsible for them?

(6) Is it possible to recover the loans if they are time-barred?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REVENUE AND MUZRAI DEPARTMENTS.—

A.—(1) The amount at the credit of the temple was Rs. 64,600-4-4 at the end of June 1922.

(2) (i) Rs. 10,000 has been lent to the Sri Srikanteswaraswamy Temple at Nanjangud for repairs to the car, at an interest of 6½% (*vide* G. O. No. 1539-42—Muz. 217-19-22, dated 4th November 1920).

(ii) Rs. 2,050 has been lent for the repairs of Chikka Muniswamy Chetty's Chattram at Mysore (*vide* G. O. No. 2515-7—Muz. 449-10-2, dated 1st November 1911).

(iii) This has been almost repaid (*vide* G. O. No. 5845—Muz. 335-16-12, dated 1st August 1922).

(3) Rs. 1,686-2-9 exclusive of lands.

(4) Yes.

A sum of Rs. 252-10-10 has been lent to Mr. Kumaraswami Dikshit, Agamik of the temple and he has executed a bond.

A sum of Rs. 1,356 has been transferred to the State 6½% loan. (*Vide* G. O. No. 629-38—Muz. 75-22-1, dated 5th August 1922.)

(5) No.

(6) This question does not arise.

MR. C. NARAYANASWAMI CHETTY, CHIKBALLAPUR TALUK.—

Q.—6. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) The number of minor tanks in each District and acreage of lands under them?

(2) The number of tanks restored during the last five years?

(3) In how many cases ryots' share of contribution was received and works not completed?

(4) The causes for delay in completing the work?

(5) What is the amount of yearly assessment and irrigation cess realised on lands under such tanks and what is the amount spent on those tanks for the last 5 years for each of the Districts?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REVENUE DEPARTMENT.—

A.—Information has been called for and will be furnished on receipt.

MR. H. NARANAIYA, CHIKBALLAPUR TALUK.—

Q.—7. (1) Will the Government be pleased to give an annual statement showing the number of graduates that have been brought for employment in the

Education Department from outside Mysore for the last five years with the details of the pay and grades on which they were started?

(2) Will the Government be pleased to state why the graduates who were trained in the Mysore Training College which training is admitted by the Educational Department to be equal to the Madras L. T. were not given the same grades as the outside graduates?

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—(1) The following statement shows the number of outside graduates who are in the service of the Mysore Education Department and who have been entertained in service since 1st July 1917:—

1917-18	..	5	All M.A., L.T.'s or B.A. Honours men.
1918-19	..	9	Includes 5 M.A., L.T.'s, 1 lady B.A. (Hon.) and 1 Mahomedan B.A., LL.B.
1919-20	...	7	Includes 1 Mahomedan B.A. (Hon.), 1 lady B.A. (Hon.), L.T., 1 Panchama B.A. and 1 specially trained in the Indian Institute of Science.
1920-21	..	5	Includes 1 lady B.A. (Hon.), 3 B.A. Honours men.
1921-22	..	2	Both B.A. Honours men.

(2) These graduates are trained at the expense of the Government after they join the service. When they are taken into service they are ordinary graduates and are started on the pay admissible to such men, and their further promotion depends on seniority and merit. In the case of outside graduates referred to in the previous question most of them were B.A. Honours or M.A.'s and many of them were L.T.'s as well. A few were only B.A., L.T.'s. In both cases their qualifications at the time of entry into service were taken into consideration in fixing their starting pay.

MESSRS. B. SRINIVASA IYENGAR AND R. SUBBA RAO, ANEKAL TALUK AND H. GUBBAIYA, ANEKAL MUNICIPALITY.—

Q.—8. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

1. (*a*) How many Village Panchayets there are in the State?

(*b*) How many Village Panchayets get an income of more than Rs. 1,000 per annum?

(*c*) How many Village Panchayets get an annual income of more than Rs. 500 but less than Rs. 1,000?

(*d*) How many get an annual income of more than Rs. 250 but less than Rs. 500?

(*e*) How many get an annual income of more than Rs. 150 but less than Rs. 250?

(*f*) How many get an annual income of less than Rs. 150?

2. Will the Government be pleased to State:—

(*a*) How many of the Village Panchayets are working well? and

(*b*) How many of them are holding monthly meetings regularly?

3. Will the Government be pleased to state whether they are aware that almost all the Village Panchayets are in their infancy, and that the Taluk Boards have no executive staff to bring them into working order?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REVENUE DEPARTMENT.—

A.—1. The total number of Panchayets at the end of the year 1920-21 was 829. The classification of these Panchayets according to their income for 1920-21 is shown below:—

Panchayets with income of over	Rs. 1,000 ..	.. 18
Do	Rs. 500 ..	.. 87
Do	Rs. 250 ..	.. 166
Do	Rs. 150 ..	.. 104
Do	Rs. 150 and less	.. 454
	Total	.. 829

(2) (*a*) and (*b*), Para 3 of the General Review of the District Fund Administration Reports for 1920-21 contains the available information. 247 Village Panchayets did not meet at all during the year and only 52 held the prescribed number of meetings.

(3) No. Not all. About 250 of the existing Panchayets were Union Panchayets under the Local Boards Regulation of 1902. Government fail to see how the Executive Staff of the Taluk Board can develop the Village Panchayets.

Mr. N. Surappa, Mysore Inamdars' Association.—

Q.—9. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) What is the total extent of Annual Local Cess realised from the Inam villages (including Kayamgutta) in the State ?

(*b*) How many of such villages have been afforded help for having any wells dug on account of scarcity of water, during the last five years?

(*c*) How many of such villages contain a population of 300 and above?

(*d*) How many of such villages have been provided with schools? If so, please name them.

Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.—

A.—Information has been called for and will be furnished on receipt.

Messrs. R. Gopalaswami Iyer, Bangalore City Municipality and M. Ramachandra Rao Scindia, Mysore Chamber of Commerce.—

Q.—10. Will the Government be pleased to state the following:—

(*a*) The total number of backward scholarships allotted to each community in each grade, and the number actually awarded to each community in each grade since the inception of the scheme of backward scholarships.

(*b*) The number of backward and other scholarships and the amount allotted to Mahomedans only.

Chief Secretary to Government.—

A.—(*a*) The scheme of backward class scholarships was introduced with effect from 1917-18. In the first grade (*i.e.* University) the scholarships are not allotted to each community on the population basis. A statement showing the number awarded is placed on the table. (Appendix B.)

The total number of second grade (*i.e.* Entrance) and lower grades of scholarships were allotted among districts and taluks on the basis of the total population of the backward classes in those areas till 1920-21 and not as at present among the communities on the basis of their total population in the State. A statement showing the awards only for 1918-19 and 1919-20 and the allotments and awards to each community in 1920-21 and 1921-22 is placed on the table. (Appendix B.) Information relating to 1917-18 has been called for and will be furnished on receipt.

(*b*) A statement giving the information is placed on the table. (Appendix B.)

Mr. Suryanarayan Rao, Tumkur District Board.—

Q.—11. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) The amount of the estimate for constructing Mavathur Tank ?

(*b*) The area of wet land calculated to be irrigated by this tank ? (Villagewar areas may kindly be furnished.)

(*c*) Of the above extent the area under irrigation at the present day and the area that is likely to be brought under wet crop.—

What is the reason for so much extent not coming under irrigation for such a length of time ?

(*d*) Is water now allowed to flow to the full length of both the channels? If not, what is the reason for not allowing the water to the tail end ?

(*e*) In how many years after its construction did the tank discharge ? During the years that the tank discharged, what was the depth of water in the tank, after the close of the cultivation season ? What is the depth of water in the tank, this year after the close of season?

(*f*) What is the amount of contribution, at Rs. 20 per acre, recovered after the construction of the tank ? What is the amount to be recovered yet ? Villagewar statistics may kindly be furnished.

Is the amount recovered credited to the Treasury ? If so the date of credit may kindly be intimated.

In whose custody is the contribution account pertaining to the years from 1902 onwards ?

If this account is not forthcoming what are the steps taken to trace it ?

(*g*) If the full amount of contribution, water rate, and wet assessment recovered from the ryots is not credited who are the village officers that have misappropriated the amount ?

The names of such persons, their village and the amount misappropriated by them, may kindly be intimated. Has criminal or departmental action been taken against them ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.—

A.—(*a*) Rs. 3,63,164.

(*b*) Information has been called for and will be furnished to the member on receipt.

(*c*) Area under irrigation at present is 1,548 acres.

A further extent of 582 acres within 6½ miles of the left and 5¼ miles of the right channel can be brought under wet cultivation. The average area which can effectively be irrigated is only 1,700 acres with the present practice. If scientific regulation of water is introduced, wasteful use in the upper reaches avoided, and supplies given by turns, it may be possible to irrigate about 2,000 acres in all.

(*d*) Water is reported to run for the full length, 6½ miles of the left channel, but only for 5¼ miles of the right.

Owing to the default of the landowners higher up the channel in not clearing the silt in the channel in proper time, there is insufficient supply at the tail end portion.

(*e*) The tank discharged in 1910, four years after its completion and depth of water after the close of the irrigation season, *i.e.*, in May 1911 was 16·63 feet.

The depth of water in the tank after the close of the last cultivation season, this year was 3·5 feet.

The information as to the other years of discharge and depth at the close of the respective irrigation seasons, has been called for and will be furnished to the member on receipt.

(*f*) The amount recovered up-to-date is Rs. 21,716-7-6. Villagewar Statement has been called for. The amounts yet to be recovered Villagewar are noted below:—

	Rs.	a.	p.
(1) Madhya Venkatapura	2,454	13	0
(2) Doddasaggere	580	0	6

		Rs.	a.	p.
(3)	Chikkasaggere	624	6	0
(4)	Baktarahalli	515	12	0
(5)	Cheelanahalli	334	0	0
(6)	Malenhalli	52	11	6
(7)	Alapanahalli	44	8	0
(8)	Majara Agrahara	202	12	0
(9)	Vobaladevarahalli	90	2	0
(10)	Longapur	19	0	0
(11)	Mattur	383	0	0
	Total ..	5,301	9	0

The amount of Rs. 21,716-7-6 has been fully credited into the Treasury but as the dates of credit extend over a series of years commencing from the year 1901, information as to these dates is not readily available.

The original contribution accounts having been mislaid by Shanbog Mallayya of Doddasaggere, he was dismissed from service and a fresh account was got prepared by his brother Kempanna and it is in the Koratagere Sub-Taluk Office.

(*g*) Amounts due on account of water rate and wet assessment are fully recovered and credited and what is misappropriated pertains solely to contribution. Shanbhogs Mallayya and Kempanna of Doddasaggere and Madhya Venkatapura respectively are the village officers who have misappropriated a part of the contribution. Information as to the amount misappropriated by Mallayya is called for and will be furnished. He was convicted and sentenced to six months rigorous imprisonment by the First Class Magistrate of Maddagiri. On appeal to the Chief Court, he was acquitted, but action was taken departmentally and he was dismissed from service. Kempanna having misappropriated Rs. 239 was tried and discharged by the Maddagiri Sub-Division Magistrate.

MR. T. G. NAGAPPA, TURUVEKERE SUB-TALUK.—

Q.—12. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) The number of Taluks settled during 1921-22?

(*b*) The travelling allowance paid to officers and other contingent expenses incidental to these operations ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REVENUE DEPARTMENT.—

A.—A statement showing the taluks settled during 1921-22 and the T. A. and other expenditure incidental thereto incurred is appended.

(*a*) No. of taluks settled during 1921-22.

		Government villages.
1.	Hassan	506
2.	Late Khadaba	389+2 Inam
3.	Mudigere	119
4.	Chikmagalur	237+1 Inam
	Total ..	1,251+3 Inam

(*b*) *Expenditure.*—

	Rs.	a.	p.
Travelling allowance paid to officers and their establishments	1,434	14	0
Expenditure incurred on the carriage of field and other records and other kit including Railway freight and cartage to different camping places in the taluks settled	421	0	0
Total ..	1,855	14	5

MESSRS. D. S. MALLAPPA AND CHIKKATHIMME GOWDA, TIPTUR TALUK.—

Q.—13. Would the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) (1) How many Gazetted officers and officials of more than Rs. 15 pay were entertained after the Miller Committee report came into force?

(2) How many of them are permanent, how many temporary hands ?

(3) How many of them are Brahmins and how many Non-brahmins in each grade?

(*b*) (1) How many Gazetted officers and Non-gazetted officers were removed from service during the recent retrenchments ?

(2) Of them how many are Brahmins and how many Non-brahmins ?

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—(*a*) (1) Gazetted officers 6.

Officials—16th May 1921 to 31st December 1921—1,255.

1st January 1922 to 30th June 1922—full information is not yet available. From the returns received from 230 officers it is seen that 452 appointments were made.

	Permanent	Temporary
(2) Gazetted officers	1	5
Officials (up to 31st December 1921) ..	666	589

After 1st January 1922—information not available.

	Brahmins	Non-brahmins
(3) Gazetted Officers	5	1
Officials (up to 31st December 1921) ..	620	635
From 1st January 1922 to 30th June 1922 ..	275	177

Details.

Up to 31st December 1921—		
Pay of Rs. 100 and above	14	8
Pay of Rs. 50 and above but less than Rs. 100	80	32
Pay of Rs. 25 and above but less than Rs. 50	171	113
Pay of Rs. 15 and above but less than Rs. 25 ..	355	482
Total ..	620	635
From 1st January 1922 to 30th June 1922—		
Pay above Rs. 45	26	13
Pay above Rs. 35 but not above Rs. 45 ..	17	5
Pay above Rs. 25 but not above Rs. 35 ..	40	21
Pay of Rs. 25 and less	192	132
Total ..	275	177

(*b*) (1) and (2)—Information has been called for and will be furnished on receipt.

MESSRS. A. ANANTHIAH AND P. VENKAPPAIAH, SHIMOGA TALUK.—

Q.—14. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(1) What amount was spent for the Malnad Improvement Scheme under the several heads so long as the special agency existed to work out the scheme ?

(2) What work has since been done ?

(3) Whether the amount advanced to settlers have been recovered, if so, how much ?

(4) How many wells were sunk and of these how many are in good condition ?

(5) How many miles of roads were opened, what their present condition is, what amount was spent on roads and whether any arrangement was made to keep them in good condition ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REVENUE DEPARTMENT.—

A.—Two statements giving the available information are placed on the table (Appendix C.) Further information called for will be furnished when received.

MR. CHANNABASAPPA GOWDA, SHIKARPUR TALUK.—

Q.—15. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) The amount spent on Primary education in V. E. and grant-in-aid schools in the Shikarpur Taluk for the last ten years?

(2) The amount spent on Primary education in town schools in the Taluk?

(3) The number of students who have been declared to have successfully undergone the course in the Primary and Lower Secondary Standard?

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—(1) and (2) A statement showing the available information for the last three years for some of the schools is placed on the table (Appendix D.) Figures for a period of 10 years cannot be readily obtained without considerable trouble.

(3) A statement furnishing the information for the last three years is placed on the table. (Appendix D.)

MR. H. NARAYANA RAO, MOLAKALMURU TALUK.—

Q.—16. Will the Government be pleased to furnish information on the following points:—

(*a*) From what date has the Central Recruitment Committee come into existence?

(*b*) What is the nature of the appointments sought for and what is the number of applications received?

(*c*) To what community does each of the applicants belong?

(*d*) What are the particulars of appointments given and the number of appointments given to members of each community?

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—(*a*) 30th June 1921.

(*b*) (i) For the various subordinate ministerial and executive appointments.

(ii) One thousand two hundred and twenty up to the end of August 1922.

(*c*) A statement is placed on the table. (Appendix E.).

(*d*) Statistics of appointments given to members of each community have been called for, and as soon as the returns are received, they will be tabulated and placed before the Central Recruitment Board for review and a copy furnished to the member.

H. NARAYANA RAO, MOLAKALMURU TALUK.—

Q.—17. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

A. The total number of Vice-Presidents of Taluk Boards on the 1st January 1922?

(1) Their name?

(2) Caste?

(3) Occupation?
(4) The number of days toured by them from January to end of December 1921?
(5) The number of days halted by them?
(6) Amount of mileage drawn by them?
(7) Their daily allowance?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REVENUE DEPARTMENT.—

A. Available information is furnished in the accompanying statement. (Appendix F.)

H. NARAYANA RAO, MOLAKALMURU TALUK.—

Q.—18. Will the Government be pleased to furnish information regarding the following:—

(*a*) (1) What amount was sanctioned for carrying out District Fund works to each District during 1920-21?
(2) What was the nature of works proposed to be undertaken?
(*b*) (1) The total outlay incurred?
(2) Details for the expenditure?
(*c*) The reasons that have been assigned for the lapses?
(*d*) What steps have been taken to prevent lapses?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.—

A.—(*a*) (1) A statement is placed on the table. (Appendix G.)
(2) They related mainly to maintenance and repairs of roads.
(*b*) (1) and (2) A statement is placed on the table. (Appendix G.)
(*c*) and (*d*) The lapses in the budget grant are reported to be due to inadequacy of rates in the sanctioned schedules, paucity of skilled labour and of competent contractors. The District Boards have been asked to take steps for revising the schedule of rates in order to secure competent contractors and avoid lapses by prompt payments for works done.